DOCUMENT RESUME

BD 147 086

RC 010 224

AUTHOR

Leitka, Eugene

TITLE

Annual Indian Youth Opportunity Conferences (1st and

2nd, April 25-26, 1974; February 26-28, 1975). Research and Evaluation Report Series No. 20-C. Bureau of Phdian Affairs (Dept. of Interior),

Albuquerque, N. Mex.

REPORT NO RER-20-C PUB DATE Jun 76

NOTE

INSTITUTION

48p.

EDRS PRICE
DESCRIPTORS

MF-\$0.83 HC-\$2.06 Plus Postage.

*American Indians; Conferences; *Educational

Opportunitiés; Grade 11: Grade 12: Health

Occupations: *Righ School Students: *Post Secondary

Education: *Program Evaluation: Scholarships:

Vocational Education: *Workshops

IDENTIFIERS *Indian Youth Opportunity Conferences: New Mexico

ABSTRACT

Data derived from student evaluations of two conferences on educational opportunities for American Indian youth are presented in this document. Evaluations derived from the first conference are analyzed in terms of the 10-item questionnaire and the 205 responses made by junior and senior migh school students attending workshops on higher education scholarships, health careers, vocational training, careers in the 70°s, and college pre-orientation and tours of the various institutions of higher learning in Albuquerque. These responses reflect: primary interest in college rather than vocational education; the successful dissemination of useful information; positive attitudes toward Native American conference speakers; and concerns regarding more variety in the fields of interest presented in future workshops, smaller groups, and more explicit information on the available funding sources. Data derived from a 5-item questionnaire administered to student participants (N=751) at the second conference are analyzed in terms of: information benefit; speaker impact; information relevance; the physical set-up of the conference; and overall conference success. These responses indicate: the information presented was beneficial (49% of the students said it was very beneficial); the speakers were interesting (45% said the speakers were very interesting); the information was relevant (43% said it was very important); and the overall impact of the conference was positive (91% rated the conference from excellent to fair) . (JC)

RESEARCH AND EVALUATION REPORT SERIES NO. 20-C

FIRST AND SECOND ANNUAL INDIAN.
YOUTH OPPORTUNITY CONFERENCES
APRIL 25-26, 1974
FEBRUARY 26-28, 1975

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATION OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

Jo EDRS.

2-7 of

Jud Dection

are Cross
wise

SPONSORED BY:

ALL INDIAN PUEBLO COUNCIL
HIGHER EDUCATION DIVISION
NATIONAL INDIAN YOUTH COUNCIL
TITLE IV INDIAN EDUCATION PROGRAM
NAVAJO HEALTH AUTHORITY
EDUCATION AND TALENT SEARCH PROGRAM, BIA
LAGUNA SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM

INDIAN EDUCATION RESOURCES CENTER
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS
P. O. BOX 1788
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO \$\infty\$87103



THOMAS R. KLEPPE, SECRETARY UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

MORRIS THOMPSON, COMMISSIONER BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

WILLIAM DEMMERT, DIRECTOR OFFICE OF INDIAN EDUCATION PROGRAMS

WILLIAM J. RENHAM, ADMINISTRATOR INDIAN EDUCATION RESOURCES CENTER

THOMAS R. HOPKINS, CHIEF
DIVISION OF EVALUATION, RESEARCH, AND DEVELOPMENT

EUGENE LEITKA, EDUCATION SPECIALIST DIVISION OF EVALUATION, RESEARCH, AND DEVELOPMENT



PROGRAM ASSESSMENT
INDIAN YOUTH OPPORTUNITY WORKSHOP.
ALBUQUEROUE, NEW MEXICO
April 25 - 26, 2974

Sponsored.

by.

ALL INDIAN PUEBLO COUNCIL,

'HIGHER EDUCATION DIVISION

NATIONAL INDIAN YOUTH/COUNCIL,

TITLE IV, INDIAN EDUCATION PROGRAM

NAVAJO HEALTH AUTHORITY

EDUCATION AND TALENT SEARCH PROGRAM, BIA

LAGUNA SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM

DATA ANALYSIS AND REPORT PREPARATION
DR. GENE LEITKA
EVALUATION, RESEARCH, AND DEVELOPMENT
P.O. BOX 1788
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|--------------------|
| INTRODUCTION | , , , 1 , |
| SCHOOLS REPRESENTED | . 1. |
| DATA ANALYSIS | 2-8 |
| STUDENT ROSTER: APPENDIX A | 9-1 5 . |
| PROGRAM ASSESSMENT RAW DATA: APPENDIX B | ₂ 16-21 |
| INSTRUMENT: APPENDIX C | 22–24 |
| PRE-REGISTRATION FORMS AND INFORMATION: APPENDIX D | 25-29 |

Summary

The major findings of the two day workshop can be summed up in two statements. First, it can be determined that majority of those high school students who responded to the questionnaire were primarily . interested in college education rather than vocational post-high school education. Secondly, majority of those responding thought the entire workshop provided useful information and was effective in doing what it had intended to do. Many positive comments were contributed relative to the importance of having knowledgeable Native American speakers and that more of these kinds of persons should be considered. Suggestions to improve future similar programs (p. 19-20) included offering a more varied fields of interest rather than limiting the program to vocational, health, and college orientation. Many thought the groups were too large and that smaller group interactions should be considered. Among the other's suggestions were to provide more explicit information on the various sources of funding that are available such as the SEOG, BEOG, and CWS. There were 229 respondents to the questionnaire which provides the evaluator some assurance that a valid sample population has been queried and the findings can be assumed to be reliable. An unofficial count of the total number attending the work op was thought to be approximately 325.

PROGRAM ASSESSMENT INDIAN YOUTH OPPORTUNITY WORKSHOP Albuquerque, New Mexico April 25-26, 1974

INTRODUCTION: The questionnaire used in this assessment was intended only to be used as part of an evaluation of program effectiveness of INDIAN YOUTH OPPORTUNITY WORKSHOP. Each participant was asked to complete the question-naire and return it to one of the workshop staff. The inclusion of name of the individual responding was not necessary. Some of the names listed with the schools below were names and classification only, therefore, the total does not correlate with those that completed the evaluation questionnaire. Computations on the findings were based on the actual count of 205 students, who completed the questionnaire.

The program was designed especially to disseminate information to those students who would be pursuing post high school education. Consequently, students with Senior and Junior classifications became the target population. Of the 205 students responding, 52 percent of them were Seniors and 41 percent were of Junior classification.

Schools Represented

| | · Animalia | • | , 6 · | |
|-------------------------|-------------|------|---------------------------|-----|
| Institute of American I | indian Arts | 38 | El Dorado High School | 5 |
| West Mesa High School | • | 17 | Albuquerque High School | 4 |
| `Rio Grande High School | ₩ | 13 | Del Norte High School | 29 |
| Bernalillo High School | | 34 . | Albuquerque Indian School | 7 |
| Valley High School | | 10 | Los Lunas High School | ₿ 🌸 |
| Highland High, School | | 14 - | Monzano High School . | 7零 |
| Sandia High School | | 1 | New Futures High School | 2 |
| Title IV Staff | . ` | 4 | | |

| • | | | . • | - | • |
|-----------------|--------------|---------|-----------------------|-----|---------|
| Respondents | No. | Percent | ' Classification | No. | Percent |
| Student | 20.5 | 89 | High School Freshmen | . 0 | 0 |
| Parent | `. 0 | 0 | High School Sophomore | 13 | 7. |
| Tribal Official | . 0 | . 0 | . High School Junior | 86 | 41 |
| Teacher | 6 | 2 | High School Senior | 106 | 52 |
| Counselor | · 12 | " 7· | TOTAL: | 205 | 100 |
| other | · <u>'.6</u> | 2 | | • | • |
| TOTATes | 229 | + 100 · | | | |

Participant Questionnaire

1. I attended the following workshops: (Check one or more)

| Number | Percent . | |
|-------------|-----------|---|
| 79 | 35% | Higher Education and Summer Orientation |
| · 76 | 33% | Health Careers |
| <u>74</u> ′ | 328 | Vocational Careers in the 70's |
| 229 | 100% | |

Majority of the participants (68%) claimed to have attended two sessions in the workshop. The Health Careers and Vocational Careers were almost identical in the numbers of participants attending. It can be seen that the distribution of participants were fairly evenly distributed among the three major workshops.

2. I would rate in the order of their effectiveness as a source of information use: 1 for most effective; 2 for average; and 3 for least effective.

| Workshops = | <u>Most</u> Effective | Average ` | <u>Least</u> <u>Effective</u> | No Response | Total |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------|
| Higher Education | 99 43% | 93 40% | 30 1,3% | 7 , 3% | 229 |
| Health Careers | 76 33% | 72 31% | 74 32% | 7 -3% ႏ | 229 |
| Vocational Careers | 65 28% | 72 31% | 90 39% | 2 | 229 |

Approximately 83% of the participants thought the workshop on higher education was about average but most effective, while 16% felt it was the least effective or declined to respond to the item. Health Careers workshop drew the satisfaction of 64% of the respondents as being average to most effective while 32% said it was the least effective portion of the workshop. The Vocational Careers workshop was thought to be the least effective of the program, (39%). Fifty-nine percent thought it was effective.

3. I would rate the 3 workshops mentioned in question #2 as being:

| Number | Percent | |
|-------------|---------------|-----------|
| 65 | 28 % . | Excellent |
| 66 | 29% | , Good , |
| 48 | 22% | Average |
| ~ 47 | . 20% | Poor |
| _3 (| 1% | NA , |
| -229 | 100% ` | |

Approximately 79% of those responding said the overall program of workshops was average to excellent. Only 20% thought the program was poor. Many respondents, of the 20%, commented negatively toward the space shortage for the large group that attended the entire program.

4. I participated in the planned tours listed:

| Number | ì | Percent | |
|--------|---|---------|---|
| 63 | | 29% | University of New Mexico |
| 51 | | 22% | University of Albuquerque |
| 64 | ٠ | ¸ 27€ | Southwestern Indian Polytechnic Institute |
| - 41 | | 17% | University of New Mexico Medical School |
| 10 | • | 5% | NA * |
| 229 | | 100% | Mark. |

The tours taken by the participants were fairly evenly distributed among the tour sites visited. The University of New Mexico attracted 29% while Southwestern Indian Polytechnic Institute attracted 27% of the participants. Several comments were contributed relative to assigning guides that were familiar with the sites, should another similar program be planned in the future.

5. I would rate the tours for their effectiveness as a source for career information as:

| Number | Percent | |
|--------|---------|-----------------|
| i11 | 48% | Most Effective |
| .102 | 44% | Average · |
| . 7 | 3%. | Least Effective |
| 9 | 5% | NA . |
| 229 | 100% | |

The tours were thought to be most effective by 48% while 44% thought they were about average. It can be seen though that 92% thought positively of the tours. A very small percentage thought negatively of the tour program while a somewhat larger percentage (5%) declined to respond.

6. The tours conducted were:

| • | Number | <u>Percent</u> | |
|---|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| , | 112 | 48 | Well planned and conducted |
| • | <u>93</u> | <u>40</u> | , Well planned but not conducted well |
| • | 9 | <u>3</u> | Ill planned and not conducted well |
| | 15 229 |) <u>9</u> ' | N/A |

Almost half of the participants thought the tours were well planned and conducted to their satisfaction while about as equal number of participants felt the tours were not conducted well or ill planned. About nine percent declined to respond to this item. Among the open-ended questions relative to suggestions to improve a similar future program, many suggested using guides who were more familiar with the visit sites.

7. The workshop did the following things for me: (Check one or more)

| <u> </u> | lumber | Percent | |
|----------|-----------------|-------------|--|
| ` | 46 | 20 | Helped me decide upon a career pursuit |
| • | 52 | 22 🐪 | Helped me reaffirm what I want to do |
| | 68 | 29 | Pròvided me helpful information |
| | 5 8 | <u>.</u> 25 | Gave me an opportunity to get acquainted with people |
| _ | 00, | 0 | that might helpf me later |
| | γ | · · | Did not provide anything new to me |
| | '5'' | 4 , | Failed to help me with the kind of information need. |
| | $\frac{0}{229}$ | 100 - | I am more confused now about my future than I was when I came to the workshop. |

The program objectives were to disseminate helpful information to Indian students who had plans to pursue post high school education. According to the respondents, the majority of responses to the sevem options was for the item that stated that the program provided helpful information (29%). The second highest number of responseents thought the program provided an



opportunity to get acquainted with people that might be helpful later.

About 20 percent said the program helped them decide upon a career.

8. I would rate the overall workshop: (Workshops, Tours, Speakers, etc.)

| Number | Percent | • |
|-----------------|---------|-----------|
| 112 | 48 | Excellent |
| 88 | 38 , | . Good |
| 20 | 9 | Average |
| <u>9</u> 229 | 5° | Poor |

A great majority of the participants (86 percent) felt the program was good to excellent. This item can probably be viewed as the item presenting the overall picture of what all the participants actually thought about the program in terms of its effectiveness in meeting its objectives. A very small percentage (five percent) felt it did not do what it proposed to do or did a very poor job of it. Should those responding to this item in the average option be considered as part of the good and excellent, then it could be said that approximately 95 percent agreed that the program was rated to have been informative and worthwhile for the participant.

9. The part I enjoyed most about the workshop was:

| Number | Percent | |
|--------|---------|--|
| 41 . | 18' | The speakers and the tours |
| 22 . | 10 | The firsthand information from students involved in |
| 22 | (10 | various schools and also meeting people. Speakers on Health careers |
| 7. 26 | 12 . | Dr. Blue Spruce's speech |
| · 18 · | 7 | Dinner |
| 5 | 2 | Entertainment |

| 19 | 9 . | Tour to medical school . |
|------------|------------------|--|
| 19 | `9 _. | Meeting students from other high schools |
| 4 | | * The orderly function of the whole program, |
| 2 6 | 12 | Everything about the program including sharing ideas with others |
| 27 229 | $\frac{11}{100}$ | No response |

Greater number (18 percent) of the participants agreed that the most interesting phase of the program was the speakers and tour part of the program. A great many of them were impressed by Dr. Blue Spruce's participation while the same number were impressed with everything about the program including sharing ideas with others. Eleven percent failed to respond to this item. It is not known whether the item was not clear and concise enough or whether the participants just didn't want to take the time to write an answer to an open-ended question.

| | • | | • |
|----------------|-------|--|---------|
| or | en-en | ded question. | ` |
| | | A^* I | |
| 10. | If be | another similar workshop is planned, I would suggest the following considered to be more meaningful to students: | thing(s |
| | 1: | Offer a more variety of other fields at the workshop. | 30 |
| | | Allow more counselors to actually take part. | 6 |
| • | (3. | Hold workshop earlier in year so students would have more time to select a career interest. | 8 |
| • | 4. | More explicit information on SEOB, BEOG, CWS, etc. | 22 |
| • | . 5. | Simulation or show problems encountered by new freshmen on a college campus. | 15 |
| • | 6. | Do similar kind of workshop every year. | 24 |
| ه. المراجع | 7. | Provide more pamphlets, handouts, etc. | 12 |
| • | 8. | Consider setting smaller groups for a more informal setting and more student response. | 24 |
| | 9. | Provide adequate space for a group as large as the one that attended this workshop. | 9 |
| · - | /10. | 7 | 18 ; |
| | | | |

Dropout prevention should be part of the program.

| • 12. | . Discuss how to improve exchange of pertinent information between school counselors and agencies. | 1 |
|-------|--|-----|
| 13. | Tours should have guides that are familiar with what is being toured. | 13 |
| 14. | More information on financial aid. | . { |
| 15. | Parking arrangements should be made in advance. | ·. |
| 16. | Plan for more meals. | • |
| 17. | A variety of entertainment. | 2 |
| 18. | Not enough time to cover all information that was needed to be covered. | 2 |
| 19. | Higher education component should cover all other post-high school education programs. | ž |
| 20. | Invite representatives from eastern colleges. | ~1 |
| 21. | Extend the program to last three days. | 1 |
| 22. | Include experienced students who have gained knowledge on the job. Let them speak to the students. | 1 |
| The | last item (No. Ten) on the questionnaire also required a smith on | • |

which received a variety of 22 answers. All answers were considered and grouped in one of the 22 listed above. These are self-explanatory and the reader can very well interpret the meanings of the responses. It should be mentioned that answers one, four, and eight occurred more often than the other 19 statements contributed by the respondents.

APPENDIX A
STUDENT ROSTER

Not Included in Copy of.
Sociement

APPENDIX B
PROGRAM ASSESSMENT
RAW DATA

PROGRAM ASSESSMENT INDIAN YOUTH OPPORTUNITY WORKSHOP Albuquerque, New Mexico April 25-26, 1974

The questionnaire used in this assessment was intended only to be used as part of an evaluation of program effectiveness of INDIAN YOUTH OPPORTUNITY WORKSHOP. Each participant was asked to complete the questionnaire and return it to one of the workshop staff. NOTE: The inclusion of name of the individual responding was not necessary.

Respondents*

| Institute of American Indian Arts 38 El Dorado High School | 5 |
|--|-----|
| West Mesa High School 17 Albuquerque High School | .42 |
| Rio Grande High School 13 Del Norte High School | 29 |
| Bernalillo High School 34 - Albuquerque Indian School | 7 |
| Valley High School 10 Los Lunas High School | 8 |
| Highland High School 14 Manzano High School | . 7 |
| Sandia High School . 1 New Futures School | 2 |
| Title IV Staff 4 | • |

*Some of the names listed with the schools above were names and classification only, therefore, the total does not correlate with those that completed the evaluation questionnaire.

| 205 Student | | 4 | High | School | Freshmen | 0 |
|--------------|---------|--|------|--------|-----------|-----|
| 0 Parent | 4 | Ŋ ^Ţ | High | School | Sophomore | .13 |
| | 6. 13 | 3. | High | School | Junior | 86 |
| 6 Teacher | | , | High | School | Senior : | 106 |
| 12 Counselor | aid bid | A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH | 400 | , ź | - | 205 |
| 6 Other | • | ** ** | *3 | | ۰. | _ |
| 229 | به دره | | 1000 | , | • | , |

Participant Questionnaire

- 1. I attended the following workshops: (Check one or more)
 - 79 Higher Education and Summer Orientation
 - 76 Health Careers
 - <u>74</u> Vocational Careers in the 70's



2. I would rate in the order of their effectiveness as a source of information use: 1 for most effective; 2 for average; and 3 for least effective.

| Workshops | <u>Most</u> <u>Effective</u> | Average | <u>Least</u> <u>Effective</u> | No Re s ponse | ý Íotal |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Higher Education | • 99 • , | 93 | 30 | 7 | 229 |
| Health Careers | 76 . | . 72 | 74 | 7 • | 229 |
| Vocational Careers | 65 | 7 2 | 90 | 2 | 229 |

| 3 | I wo | uld rate | the | 3 | workshops | mentioned | in | question | #2 | as | being | : |
|---|------|----------|-----|---|-----------|-----------|----|----------|----|----|-------|---|
|---|------|----------|-----|---|-----------|-----------|----|----------|----|----|-------|---|

- 65 Excellent
- _66: Good
- 48 Average
- 47 Poor

4. I participated in the planned tours listed:

- 63 University of New Mexico
- 51 University of Albuquerque
- 64 Southwestern Indian Polytechnic Institute
- 41 University of New Mexico Medical School
- TO NA

5. I would rate the tours for their effectiveness as a source for career information as:

- 111 Most Effective
- 102 Average
- __9 NA
- 229

| 6. | The tours conducted were: |
|------|--|
| | 112 Well planned and conducted |
| | 93 Well planned but not conducted well |
| | 9 Ill planned and not conducted well |
| * | |
| 7. | The workshop did the following things for me: (Check one or more) |
| | 46 Helped me decide upon a career pursuit |
| | 52 Helped me reaffirm what I want to do |
| | 68 Provided me helpful information |
| • `; | 58 Gave me an opportunity to get acquainted with people that might help me later. |
| | 0 Did not provide anything new to me |
| .) | 5 Failed to help me with the kind of information I needed |
| | I am more confused now about my future than I was when I was when I came to the workshop. |
| 8. | I would rate the overall workshop: (Workshops, Tours, Speakers, etc.) |
| | 112 Excellent |
| | 88 Good 4. |
| | 20 Average |
| • | 9 Poor |
| 9. | The part I enjoyed most about the workshop was: |
| | Number of Responses Percent |
| | 1. The speakers and the tours. |
| | 2. The First Hand Information from students involved in various schools and also most involved |
| | |

| · : | | • | | | | | | mber o | _ | Percent |
|-----|---|-----------|--------|--------|------|----------------|-----|--------------|---|---------|
| 3. | Speakers on Health | Careers | | | | • | ۰ | 22 | | · |
| 4: | Dr. Blue Spruce's S | peech | | | | | | 2 6 · | | |
| 5. | Dinner | | , | , | • | | | 18 | | .1 |
| 6. | Entertairment | | | ŧ 1 | | | | 5 | | * |
| 7. | Tour to Medical Sch | ool | | | | | į | 19 | | |
| 8. | Meeting students fr | om other | high s | chool | ls , | / * | | 19 [| • | |
| 9\$ | The orderly function | n of the | whole | progr | cam. | | | 4 | | |
| 10. | Everything about the ideas with others. | e program | inclu | ding | shar | ing | ; ` | 26 | 3 | • |
| 11. | No response | 2. | | 1 | ٠ | • | , | 2 7 | • | |
| | | | | i | 5 | | | | | • |
| | , | ., | | į. | ** | TOT. | ΆĽ: | 229 | | |

10. If another similar workshop is planned, I would suggest the following thing(s) be considered to be more meaningful to students:

1. 2

| | | | Number of Responses | Percent |
|-----|--------------|---|------------------------|---------|
| 3 | L•. | Offer a more variety of other fields at the workshop. | 30 | |
| 2 |) , . | Allow more counselors to actually take part. | 6 | • |
| 3 | 3. , | Hold workshop earlier in year so students would have more time to select a career interest. | 8 | |
| . 4 | • | More explicit information on SEOB, BEOG, CWS, etc. | . 22 | , |
| 5 | . ,, | Simulation or show problems encountered by new freshmen on a college campus. | . 15 | |
| 6 | • | Do similar kind of workshop every year. | 24 | ; |
| 7 | • | Provide more pamphlets, handouts, etc. | 12 | |
| | • 5 % | Consider setting smaller groups for a more informal setting and more student response. | 24 | |

| | | | | nber of sponses | Percent |
|-----------------|--|-----|----------|--------------------|-------------|
| ['] 9. | Provide adequate space for a group as large as the one that attended this workshop. | • | ••• | 9 . | · · |
| 10. | More Indian leaders to speak such as Dr. Blue Spruce. | • | , | 18 | • - |
| 11. | Dropout prevention should be part of the program. | ,,, | | 5 | > |
| 12. | Discuss how to improve exchange of pertinent information between school counselors and agencies. | | | .19 | _ |
| 13. | Tours should have guides that are familiar with what is being toured. | • | | 11 | • |
| · 14. | More information on financial aid | | • | 8 | |
| 15. | Parking arrangements should be made in advance. | | • | 6 | |
| 16. | Plan for more meals. | ٠, | | 2 | |
| 17. | A variety of entertainment | | • | 2 | the strain |
| 18. | Not enough time to cover all information that was needed to be covered. | ζ | , , | 2 | |
| 19. | Higher education component should cover all other post-high school education programs. | : | • | 3 | * |
| . 20 | Invite representatives from eastern colleges. | • | • • • | 1 | |
| 21. | Extend the program to last 3 days. | | | 1, * | |
| 22. | Include experienced students who have gained knowledge on the job. Let them speak to the students. | | | 1. | • • • • • • |
| | Selections | - | • | - (| -3 page . |

INDIAN YOUTH OPPORTUNITY WORKSHOP

April 25, 1974

WORKSHOPS

Higher Education - Scholarships
Health Careers
Vocational Training
Careers in the 70's
College Pre-Orientation

| First | Choice , | Second | 1 Chốice |
|-------|----------|--------|----------|
| °96 | -30% | . 72 | \$ 30% |
| 62 | 19% | .40 | 16% |
| 32 | 10% | 32 | 13% |
| 36- | ··· 11% | 36 | 15% |
| - 88 | 28% | 57 | 24% |
| 314 | *** | 237. | |

TOURS

| Technical Vocational Institute |
|---|
| University of Albuquerque |
| University of New Mexico |
| Southwestern Indian Polytechnic Institute |
| Medical School (UNIM) |

| First Chơice | Second Choice |
|--------------|---------------|
| 37 17.5% | 28 14% |
| 30 13.5% | 61 30% |
| 91 42% | 60 30% |
| 32 14.5% | 35 17% |
| | |
| 25 🛂 12.5% | 16 🐉 8% 🕏 |
| 2157 | 200 |

APPENDIX C
INSTRUMENT

PROGRAM ASSESSMENT INDIAN YOUTH OPPORTUNITY WORKSHOP Albuquerque, New Mexico April 25-26, 1974

This questionnaire is intended only to be used as a part of an evaluation of program effectiveness of INDIAN YOUTH OPPORTUNITY WORKSHOP. Each participant is being asked to complete this questionnaire and return it to one of the workshop staff. NOTE: The inclusion of name of the individual responding is not necessary.

Biographical Data Section

| Please check appropriate space: | • |
|--|---------|
| I am Student Teacher | |
| Parent Counselor | • |
| Tribal Official Other: | _ |
| For student only: | • |
| High School: Freshmen Sophomore Junion Senior | |
| College or University: Freshmen Sophomore Junior Senior | |
| I attend: High School (Name) | |
| College or University (Name) | |
| Participant Ouestionnaire | ." ~ |
| 1. I attended the following workshops: (Check one or more) | . ' |
| Higher Education and Summer Orientation | • |
| Health Careers | , |
| Vocational Careers in the 70's | |

| - | of information. (Use: 1 for most effective, 2 for average, and 3 for least effective) |
|-----|---|
| | Higher Education and Summer Orientation |
| | Health Careers |
| | Vocational Careers in the 70's |
| 2 | Trould rate the 2 regreteers mentioned in scentiar #2 as being |
| ٥., | I would rate the 3 workshops mentioned in question #2 as being: |
| | Excellent, |
| • | Good |
| | Average |
| , | Poor |
| 4. | I participated in the planned tours listed: |
| _ | University of New Mexico |
| • | University of Albuquerque |
| • | Southwestern Indian Polytechnic Institute |
| | University of New Mexico Medical School |
| 5. | I would rate the tours for their effectiveness as a source for |
| • | career information as: |
| | Most Effective |
| | Average, |
| | Least Effective |
| 6. | The tours conducted were: |
| • | Well planned and conducted |
| | Well planned but not conducted well |
| • | Ill planned and not conducted well |
| | |

| 7. | The workshop did the following things for me: (Check one or more) | |
|-----|--|-----|
| • | • Helped me decide upon a career pursuit | |
| | Helped me reaffirm what I want to do | |
| | Provided me helpful information | |
| | Gave me an opportunity to get acquainted with people that might help me later. | |
| • | Did not provide anything new to me | • |
| | Failed to help me with the kind of information I needed | |
| • | I am more confused now about my future than I was when I was when I came to the workshop. | , |
| | Other: | 1 |
| • • | | ě |
| • | | |
| | | |
| 8. | I would rate the overall workshop: (Workshops, Tours, Speakers, etc | • |
| | Excellent | |
| | Good | |
| | Average | |
| • , | Poor | |
| 9. | The part I enjoyed most about the workshop was: | ` |
| | Comments: | |
| | Control of the second of the s | |
| 10. | If another similar workshop is planned, I would suggest the following | 3 |
| | thing(s) be considered to be more meaningful to students: | ۔ . |
| .′ | | |
| | | |

APPENDIX D

PRE-REGISTRATION FORMS

AND

INFORMATION

indian youth opportunity workshop April 25-26, 1974

Selection of Workshops at Pre-Registration

| Name | |
|-----------|---|
| School | |
| Grade _ | |
| | • |
| | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| | Workshops (Select 3 in order of preference) |
| | |
| | Higher Education - Scholarships |
| | Health Career's Vocational Training - Employment Assistance Manpower Development Employment Security Commission Careers in the 70's |
| | College Pre-Orientation |
| , | Tours |
| | (Select First and Second Choice) |
| | |
| | Technical Vocational Institute |
| ***** | University of Albuquerque |
| 8)>** · · | University of New Mexico |
| ··· | Southwestern Indian Polytechnic Institute |
| - | Medical School (UNM) |

INDIAN YOU'H OPPORTUNITY WORKSHOP April 25, 1974

WORKSHOPS .

| | First Choice | Second Choice |
|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Higher Education - Scholarships | | |
| Health Careers | | 2 |
| Vocational Training | | |
| Careers in the 70's | | , |
| College Pre-Orientation | , | |

TOURS

Technical Vocational Institute

University of Albuquerque

University of New Mexico

Southwestern Indian Polytechnic
Institute

Medical School (UNM)

INDIAN YOUTH OPPORTUNITY CONFERENCE
FOR
HIGH SCHOOL JUNIORS AND SENIORS

April 25th & 26th,1974 Hilton Hotel 125 2nd N.E. Albuquerque, New Mexico

Thursday, April 25th.

Students will participate in workshops covering financial aids, scholarship information, vocational training, higher education, pre-college programs, health careers and job information.

Friday, April 26th.

Students will be given tours of the UNM campus, UNM Medical School, Southwestern Indian Polytechnic Institute and the University of Albuquerque.

Guest Speakers include Dr. George Blue Spruce, Gov. Robert Lewis, Mr. Delfin Lovato (AIPC Chairman), Mr. Ernest Stapleton (Albuq. Public Schools), and Actor Dennis Hopper.

Students may obtain details and pre-registration forms from their counselors or call Urban Indian Education at 842-3577

Sponsoring Agencies:

AIPC, Higher Education Dept; Title IV - Urban Indian Education; National Indian Youth Council; Navajo Health Authority; Bureau of Indian Affairs; Educational Talent Search; and Laguna Tribal Scholarship Program.

INDIAN YOUTH OPPORTUNITY CONFERENCE-Hilton Hotel-Downtown Albuquerque, New Mexico

AGENDA

April 25, 1974

8:00-9:00 Registration
9:00 Introduction

Introduction of Guests - Effie Marmon, Hayes Lewis

Welcome Address - Delfin Lovato, Chairman All Indian Pueblo Council

9:15 Gilbert E. Miranda, Director
New Federal Programs
Albuquerque Public Schools

9:30 Keynote Speaker - Dr. George Blue Spruce

10:15 Coffee

10:30 Charles Sheenan, Director Student Financial Aid University of New Mexico

11:00 Explanation of Programs - Coordinators

11:30 Lunch

1:00-4:00 Workshops

1. Higher Education

ALL INDIAN PUEBLO COUNCIL - Effic Marmon BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS - Leroy Falling COLLEGE ENRICHMENT - Marlene Naranjo LAGUNA SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM - Fred Scott NAVAJO SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM - Lawrence Guishey ZUNI SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM - Geraldine Simplicio

. Health Careers

Mike Lincoln Roger Greyeyes

3. Vocational

EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE -Ralph Paisano
EMPLOYMENT SECURITY COMMISSION- Paul Day
MANPOWER DEVELOPMENT- Beltron Chavez
SOUTHWESTERN INDIAN POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE-Willard Scott
STATE PERSONEL EMPLOYMENT- Sherry Watkins
SCHOOL ON WHEELSYOUTH EMPLOYMENT- Bill Stillwell

4. Careers in the 70 s

Betsy Yost Delfino <u>Valdez</u>

6:30 Dinner

8:00 Dance- Edgewater

April 26, 1974

7:30-9:00 Breakfast (Overnight guests)

9:00-12:00 Tours

UNIVERSITY OF ALBUQUERQUE- Mary Kirkland, Christine Sims UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO- Mike Lincoln, Bill Lee SOUTHWESTERN INDIAN POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE- Joe Jojola Willard Scott

12:00 Luncheon

1:30

Introduction of Guests-Bill Lee Speakers- Governor Robert Lewis Zuni Pueblo

Dennis Hopper

Closing Remarks-Effi'e Marmon
Joe Jojola

EVALUATION REPORT

SECOND ANNUAL INDIAN YOUTH OPPORTUNITY CONFERENCE FEBRUARY 26-28, 1975

WESTERN SKIES MOTEL
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

DATA ANALYSIS

GENE LEITKA

JERRY HARJO

INDIAN EDUCATION RESOURCES CENTER
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

APRIL 1975

ABSTRACT

A total of 751 students completed the questionnaire that contained 5 items concerning the effectiveness of the workshop designed to inform the high school students of post high school opportunities. The 5 items on the evaluation instrument elicited from the participants their immediate impression of the total program from the effectiveness of the workshop speakers to the physical arrangements of the total program.

The highest number of positive responses was/given to item number 1 on the questionnaise that asked the participants for their impression of the usefulness and importance of the information given at the workshop. About 368 or 49% said the information was VERY BENEFICIAL. The next highest number of positive responses was given to item > number 2 In which the students were asked their opinion about the speakers. Almost half, 45% or 344, thought the speakers were VERY. INTERESTING, while item number 3 drew 321 or 43% of the total 751 to say the information presented at the workshop was VERY IMPORTANT. Probably the most important item on the questionnaire was item number 5 that asked the participants to give their opinion about the effectiveness of the total conference. An overwhelming majority, 91%, rated the conference from EXCELLENT to FAIR. A somewhat negative rate was given to item number 4 that asked the students for their impression of the PHYSICAL SET-UP of the program. About 31% said it provided VERY LITTLE COMFORT while about 50% of the total respondents rated the physical set-up VERY UNCOMFORTABLE to UNCOMFORTABLE and some were INDIFFERENT about the whole matter. This item.also drew the largest number of NO RESPONSES. A total of 59 or 8% declined to answer the question.

The information presented in this session was:

| 1 Very Beneficial | | Very Lit | | Indiffe | rent | 4 Very Lit Help | ttle | | 5 -Not Helpful at all | | No Response | |
|----------------------|-----|-----------------|---------|----------|-------|-----------------------|------|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------|-------------|--|
| Response 368 | 49% | Response 203 | 27% | Response | | Response 24 | 3.2% | Response | | Response | | |
| • | • | 1 -00 | ., 5, 0 | 113 | 13.3% | 1 24 . | 3.20 | l' ₁₀ '• | 2.1% | 25∕ | 3.3% | |

Approximately 76% thought the entire session was beneficial to some degree while the remaining 24% felt differently. About 50% felt the program was very beneficial. It is possible that many students who felt the session was not beneficial or had very little importance could have come from schools that employed counselors that provided information pertinent to college and other post-high school-institutions. The conference could have appeared to be repetitious.

The speakers in this session were:

| Very inter | Very interesting Not Very Interesting | | 3 Indifferent | | Not Interesting at all '_ | | Put me to sleep | | No Response | | |
|------------|---|----------|------------------|------------|---------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------|-------------|--------|----------|
| Response | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Response | - 8 | Response . | ₩ <u>'0</u> | Response | - 8 | Response | 8 | Number | <u> </u> |
| 344 | 45.8% | 218 | -29% | _ 113 | 15% | 33 | 4.4% | 18 | 2.4% | 25 | 3.3% |

Seventy-five percent of the 751 students responding said the speakers were interesting to some degree.

Almost half of them did admit the speakers were very interesting.

Was this session and the information important to you?

| 1 Yes, Very Important | | Z Very Little Importance | | Indifferent | | Not Important | | Did not a | | No Response | |
|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------|---------------|------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Response 321 | 42.7% | Response 209 | 8 27.8% | Response | 13.8% | Response 42 | 5.6% | Response 32 | 8 4.3% | Response 43 | 8 5.7% |

Approximately 70% of the 751 participants felt the total session and the information given was IMPORTANT to them, while the remaining 30% thought the sessions were either unimportant or not applicable to them. The majority's response would indicate that the program was thought to be worthwhile and provided useful information.

The physical set-up of the workshop was:

| 1 Very Comfortable | | Little Con | mfort | 3 Indifferent | | 4 Uncomfortable | | .5 Very Uncomfortable | | No Response | |
|-----------------------|-------|------------|----------|------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|-----------------------------|------|-------------|------|
| Response | | Response | <u> </u> | Response | | Response | | Response . | | Response | |
| 140 | 18.6% | 235 | 31.3% | 183 | 24.4% | 83 | 11.1% | 68 | 9.1% | 42 | 5.6% |

The response on this item was almost evenly split between those that felt the physical set-up was fairly comfortable (49.9%) to those that were either indifferent or thought the physical set-up was uncomfortable to very uncomfortable (49.1%). This finding which shows an even distribution may warrant the program officials to give closer consideration to the physical arrangements such as the seating, lighting, room arrangements, room temperatures, condition of furniture, size of space, and etc.

Overall I would rate the Second Annual Indian Youth Conference as:

| | | | . ` | • | · · | | | | • • | | |
|----------------|----------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----|-------------|------|--------------------|-----|------------------|----------|
| 1 Excellent | | 2 Good | | 3 Fair | | Indifferent | | 4 5 ferent Poor | | 6 No Response | |
| | ٥ | ` | | | | ٠. | | la ' | | | ζ, |
| Response | <u> </u> | Response | | Response | - 8 | Response | | Response | | Response | <u> </u> |
| 307 | 40.9% | 230. | 30.6% | 143 | 19% | 10 | 1.3% | · 2 | .3% | 59 | 7.9% |

A great majority of the 751 students, 90%, rated the conference from EXCELLENT to FAIR. This item drew greater number of NO RESPONSE than any other item. There were a total of 59 students (8%) who declined to answer the question. Nevertheless, the program people should find some satisfaction in the 90% who thought possitively of the total program.

EVALUATION REPORT : INDIAN YOUTH OPPORTUNITY CONFERENCE

ANSWERS

| | | J | ANSWERS : | | | • | | | |
|----------|---|-------|-----------|------------------|------------|-------------|---------------|----------|-----------|
| NO. | QUESTION | NO. | L % | 2 NO. % | 3 NO. % | 4 NO % | 5 NO. % | No Respo | onse % |
| 1 | The information presented in this session was: | | 49.0 | .203 27.0 | 115 15.3 | . 24 3.2 | 16 2.1 | 25 | 3.3 |
| 2 | The speakers in this session were: | 344 | 45.8 | .218 29.0 | 113 15.0 | 33 4.4 | 18 . 2.4 | 25 | 3.3 |
| 3 | Was this session and the information important to you? | 321 | 42.7 | 209 27.8 | 104 13.8 | ′ 42 , 5.6° | 32 4.3 | 43 | 5.7 |
| 4 | The physical set- up of the work- shop was: | 140 | 18.6 | 235 31.3 | 183 24.4 | 83 11.1 | : 68 · 9.1 | 42 | 5.6 |
| 5 | Overall I would rate the Second Annual Indian Youth Conference | 707 | | 270 70 6 | | 10 32 4 | , | 1. | , |
| | as: | 307 | 40.9 | 230 30.6 | 143 19.0 | 10 1.3 | 2 .3 | .59 | 7.9 |
| , | TOTALS: | 1,480 | · | 1,095 | 658 | 216 | 136 | 194 | ." |

45 = 751

46

EVALUATION OF THE 2ND ANNUAL INDIAN YOUTH OPPORTUNITY CONFERENCE

February 26, 27, 28, 1975

| Na | me of workshop | or speaker: | | A | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Но | w many session | is or speaker's have | e you attended a | lready? | | , , | | | | |
| | | 8 | . ' | , | in the second se | A . | | | | |
| Ci be con | rcle a number low each state nferences. | to rate each item ment. We apprecia | below. Please atte any suggestion | make any com ons you might | nents or have to | explanations improve future | | | | |
| 1. | The informat | ion presented in t | his session was | • | | | | | | |
| • | Very Beneficial | • | , | φ α | • | Not helpful at all | | | | |
| | 1 | . 2 | 3 | - 4 | | 5', s | | | | |
| | Comments: | <u> </u> | | | • | | | | | |
| | | · | 4 8 | | |) Ø | | | | |
| 2. | The speaker (s | s) in this session | were: | | | | | | | |
| • | Very Interesting 1 Comments: | 2 | 3 | 4 | | Put me to sleep | | | | |
| 4 | 4 | | | , | • | | | | | |
| 3. | Was this sess | Vas this session and the information important to you? | | | | | | | | |
| | Yes, Very Important | • | | | · • | Did not apply to me | | | | |
| : | ŕÍ | . 2 | . 3 | . 4 | | ^ 5 | | | | |
| | Comments: | | · | | • | | | | | |
| | · | • | | • | • | | | | | |
| . | The physical | set-up of the work | shop was: | | - | | | | | |
| ~ | Very Comfortable ' | | - Tokes | | : | Very Uncomfortable | | | | |
| | . ì | 2 | 3 | 4 | . • | · E | | | | |
| | Comments: | • | | • | | 4 / | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | | | | |

| ٠. | Overall I would | Tate tile | ZHU AHHUMI | maran | iouth_vonierence | as: | • | |
|----|-----------------|-----------|------------|-------|------------------|-----|---|---|
| | • | | • | | 4 | • | | • |
| | Excellent | | <i></i> | Fair | • | | | p |

•

Å

.

· Comments:

1